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1. Introduction

Freeze casting has been an attractive area of research in recent years as it offers the potential to fabricate controlled porous microstructures through a simple and inexpensive technique [1–5]. The process itself is carried out in four steps: (1) a slurry of solid loading (e.g. ceramic particles) and liquid freezing agent is prepared along with polymeric binders and dispersants, (2) the slurry is frozen in a directional and controlled manner with the solid loading forming lamellar walls (when H2O is used as a freezing agent) [1–5], (3) the frozen scaffold is lyophilized (freeze dried) to remove the freezing agent, where aligned pores result from the sublimated ice crystals, (4) the scaffold is then sintered to enhance its strength.

Once fabricated, a fifth, post-processing step can be implemented. One method of post-processing is to infiltrate the bare scaffolds with polymers or metals to create two-phase interpenetrating composites [5–8].

These resultant, composite scaffolds have been fabricated into bioinspired designs similar in structure and properties to bone [9–13] and the nacre of abalone [1,14]. Biological layered structures such as these are found in successful organisms from deep sea sponges to beetles to humans where they are capable of providing significantly improved mechanical properties due to their hierarchical structuring [15]. Given this success, the study of these bioinspired composites is a field that provides great potential for structural and biomedical applications. While there are many methods to fabricate bioinspired designs, the ability within freeze casting to control the microstructure and mechanical properties of the resultant materials through relatively simple alterations to the processing conditions is key to the potential of the technique. More
importantly, freeze casting is capable of harnessing the same fundamental construction method as so many biological materials in templating. Where collagen provides a template for biogenic hydroxyapatite in bone [16] and fish scales [17], the ice itself provides the template for the ceramic of a freeze cast scaffold. By employing this similar technique, the hierarchical structures within biological materials can be easily mimicked and explored.

When considering control of the freeze casting process, an analogy can be drawn to the processes involved with the resistance to crack propagation in fracture mechanics where toughness is imparted through intrinsic (processes that occur ahead of a growing crack, occur on smaller length scales and are generally inherent to the material itself) and extrinsic (processes that occur in the wake of a growing crack, act on larger length scales and are often controlled by structural features) mechanisms [9, 18]. Similarly, control of freeze casting can also be considered to be by intrinsic (those acting within the slurry that are inherent to its freezing and chemical interactions) or extrinsic (those acting on the freezing process through outside forces) mechanisms.

Recent work into intrinsic control of freeze casting with the monofunctional alcohol isopropanol (IPA) as an additive to the slurry reported the presence of clathrate hydrates in the freezing process leading to enlarged final porosity [19, 20]. Clathrate hydrates are defined as non-stoichiometric structures where a hydrogen bonded molecule enclosure lattice (made of H2O molecules) surrounds a guest molecule (e.g. monofunctional alcohols) with limited or no chemical bonding to the lattice [21]. These structures can be defined by the hydration number, nH, which is defined as the number of H2O molecules per guest molecule. Values of nH vary depending on the guest molecule with most alcohols producing values of ~1–6. The enlarged unit cells of clathrate hydrates occurring during the freezing process have been shown to contribute to the observed increase in pore area in the final freeze cast scaffold [20]. However, there is still a significant unanswered question in this explanation as the pore area shows a parabolic relationship with the concentration of IPA additive. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, comparing results generated for this study (using the same parameters described below) along with previous studies for ZrO2 [20] and TiO2 [19] scaffolds. To account for the discrepancies in processing parameters, the results in Fig. 1 are normalized to the pore area reported for a scaffold with no additive (0 vol% IPA = A0). In spite of this, all three trials demonstrated a maximum in pore area, described here as peak Ap, at the same concentration of 5–7 vol% IPA.

In this work we present a homologous series of linear monofunctional alcohols as intrinsic templating additives: ethanol (EtOH, C2H5OH), n-propanol (n-PrOH, C3H7OH) and n-butanol (n-BuOH, C4H9OH). EtOH [22, 23] and n-PrOH [24] have been reported to form clathrate hydrates in dilute binary mixtures at low temperatures. While there are no current reports on the presence of clathrate hydrates in n-BuOH, the branched butanol, tert-butyl alcohol has been reported to form clathrate hydrates in dilute concentrations [23]. In addition, we present data and analysis on the mechanics of bioinspired composites created with these scaffolds and are able to provide new insight into the structural support provided by the infiltration of freeze cast materials as well as layered structures in nature.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Aqueous slurries were prepared to investigate the effects of linear monofunctional alcohols as additives to the freeze casting process using EtOH (Koptec, King of Prussia, PA, USA), n-PrOH (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) and n-BuOH (J. T. Baker, Center Valley, PA, USA). Slurries consisting of 15 vol% ZrO2 powders (200–500 nm diameter) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were mixed with 1 wt% polyethylene glycol (PEG) with a molecular weight of 10,000 g/mole (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA), 1 wt% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) with a molecular weight of 100,000 g/mole (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA) and 1 wt% of an ammonium polymethacrylate anionic dispersant, Darvan 811 (R. T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc., Norwalk, CT, USA). Slurries were prepared by varying two parameters: the volume fraction (0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 vol%) and the additive (EtOH, n-PrOH and n-BuOH) for a total of 21 slurries. All slurries were ball milled in an alumina grinding medium for 24 h then degassed under low vacuum for 5–10 min. Samples of approximately 10 mL of the degassed slurry were poured into a freeze cast mold and frozen at a constant rate of 10 K/min using a custom built freeze casting device, as previously described [25]. After freezing, samples were lyophilized in a benchtop freeze dryer (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) at 223 K and 350 Pa for 70 h. After freeze drying, the green scaffolds were sintered in an open air furnace for 3 h at 1623 K with heating and cooling rates of 2 K/min. This sintering procedure is similar to procedures reported as effective for ZrO2 scaffolds [20, 25]. This entire process was performed in triplicate to create three full sets of scaffolds (63 total scaffolds) and ensure reproducibility of the current results. In addition, one set of scaffolds were fabricated using the exact procedure described above with 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 vol% isopropanol (IPA) to create a comparison between current and previously reported results (Fig. 1).

Each scaffold was infiltrated with a two-component polymer epoxy, Epoxicure 2 (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) by in situ polymerization in order to form a ceramic-polymer composite for imaging and mechanical testing. Samples were immersed in a mixture of liquid monomer and catalyst under low vacuum for 20–30 min to force the liquid to infiltrate the scaffold pores. The infiltrated scaffolds were then allowed to polymerize for 24 h. Samples of pure epoxy were simultaneously created to use for comparative analysis of the mechanical properties.

Fig. 1. Pore area, Aρ, data for previously reported and current results using isopropanol as a freeze casting additive. Results are normalized to the pore area with no additive, A0 (0 vol% IPA). Solid loading is different in each case: current results use 15 vol% ZrO2. Naleway et al. [20] used 10 vol% ZrO2 and Porter et al. [19] used 10 vol% TiO2. In each case a parabolic relationship between pore area and IPA concentration is shown with a peak Aρ at 5–7 vol% IPA. Data taken from Refs. [19, 20].
2.2. Material characterization

All composite scaffolds were observed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) at 10 kV and a spot size of 3.0 nm using a Philips XL30 field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). For SEM preparation, all samples were sputter-coated with iridium using an Emitech K575X sputter coater (Quorum Technologies Ltd., West Sussex, UK). Microstructural measurements of the pore size were performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). In all cases \( N = 40 \) measurements were taken to calculate the mean and standard deviation. Measurements of pore size and shape were performed by adjusting the threshold of the micrographs (using a consistent threshold for all measurements) so as to fit an ellipse to the pores in order to determine the elliptic major axis, \( a \), and minor axis, \( b \). The assumption of elliptical pores has been previously employed for scaffolds with similar architecture [19,20] and was supported through observations of the pore shape within the current scaffolds. Pore area, \( A_p \), and pore aspect ratio, \( X_p \), were calculated as \( A_p = ab/\pi \) and \( X_p = a/b \), respectively. To image the characteristic pore structure, samples were taken from the interior of the scaffolds so as to avoid the high-density regions at the edges, top and bottom of the sample.

The \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) ceramic volume fraction, \( V_c \), of the final ceramic-polymer composites was calculated from a rule of mixtures for a two-phase composite:

\[
V_c = \frac{\rho_p - \rho_c}{\rho_p - \rho_s} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \rho_s, \rho_p \) and \( \rho_c \) are the final scaffold, polymer (Epoxicure 2) and ceramic (\( \text{ZrO}_2 \)) densities respectively. The densities \( \rho_p \) and \( \rho_s \) were each calculated by dividing the measured weight and volume of cubic samples of roughly \( 5 \times 5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3 \). The mean and standard deviation of seven samples were used to determine the final density. This method assumes that the infiltrated scaffolds are solely two-phase composite and are devoid of any air pockets, an assumption that was supported by SEM observations of the final composite scaffolds. The polymer density was measured to be \( \rho_p = 1.173 \times 10^3 \text{ g/m}^3 \) while the density of pure \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) was used, \( \rho_c = 5.89 \times 10^2 \text{ g/m}^3 \). Measured values of \( \rho_s \) ranged from 2.3—3.0 \( \times 10^3 \text{ g/m}^3 \).

Compression testing of the infiltrated scaffold composites was performed using a 3367 Instron materials testing machine (Instron, Norwood, MA, USA) with a 30 kN static load cell at a constant crosshead velocity of 0.005 mm/s. Scaffolds were cut into cubic samples of roughly \( 5 \times 5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3 \) and compressed in the longitudinal (ice growth) direction. For each scaffold \( N = 5 \) samples were tested to calculate the mean and standard deviation. In addition, samples of pure epoxy were tested. The ultimate compressive strength and effective elastic modulus were determined from the maximum stress and linear slope of the stress-strain curves, respectively. As there was some variability in the magnitude of properties between the complete data sets (e.g. EtOH vs. \( \text{n-PrOH} \) scaffold sets), which has recently been shown to be common amongst the microstructure and mechanics of freeze cast scaffolds [26], all mechanical data was normalized:

\[
Q_N = \frac{Q}{Q_0} \tag{2}
\]

where \( Q \) is either the modulus or ultimate compressive strength, \( Q_N \) and \( Q_0 \) are the normalized and measured values for any given additive concentration and \( Q_0 \) is the mean value of the initial scaffold with no additive (0 vol%).

2.3. Differential scanning calorimetry

The phase transformations during freezing of binary mixtures of EtOH-, \( \text{n-PrOH} \)- and \( \text{n-BuOH-H}_2\text{O} \) were investigated using a Q20 differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). DSC has been previously used to investigate phase transformations during the freezing of binary non-hydrogen bonded liquids (e.g. monofunctional alcohols) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) [20,22–24,27,28]. In these cases, the heating curves are utilized so as to observe the decomposition of phases within the solid. Concentrations of 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 vol\% EtOH, \( \text{n-PrOH} \) and \( \text{n-BuOH} \) (21 total tests) were tested with heating and cooling rates of 10 K/min from room temperature to 213 K so as to imitate the freeze casting process. This lower temperature limit has been previously shown to be satisfactory to emulate the conditions within the freeze casting process [20].

2.4. Sound velocity and hydration measurements

Measurements of the liquid density and sound velocity were taken to determine the influence of room temperature effects on the freeze casting process. Two complete sets of liquid freezing agents were created each with concentrations of 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 vol\% EtOH, \( \text{n-PrOH} \) and \( \text{n-BuOH} \) (21 total samples per set). One set included only binary mixtures of alcohol and water, to reproduce previous results [29–31] and ensure accuracy of the setup; the other included 1 wt\% PEG, 1 wt\% PVA, 1 wt\% Darvan 811 and 15 vol\% \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) particles so as to mimic the slurry constituents within this study. In addition, as there are no current reports on sound velocity measurements of slurries such as the ones in this study, slurries were also tested to determine the effect of the solid loading particles on the measurements. Two sets of slurries were prepared, one with no additive and one with 7 vol\% \( \text{n-PrOH} \) (which was shown through preliminary testing to have the largest effect on the sound velocity). In each case these were prepared as stated (i.e. including polymers and dispersant) above but with varying solid loading concentrations of 0, 5, 10 and 15 vol\% \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) particles.

In all cases, the density was calculated by weighing samples of a constant volume (1 mL). Sound velocity measurements were taken using a USD 10 Ultrasonic Detector (Krautkramer Branson, Leawood, PA, USA) with a system delay of 1.092 \( \mu \text{s} \) and input intensities of 10–25 dB and 55–100 dB for samples without and with solid loading respectively. Five measurements were taken on each sample to calculate the average and standard deviation.

3. Results and discussion

Microstructural and mechanical properties of the scaffold composites are given for EtOH (Table 1), \( \text{n-PrOH} \) (Table 2) and \( \text{n-BuOH} \) (Table 3) as a function of the additive concentration. The largest effect of each additive, as measured by the highest magnitude of \( A_p \), is identified as the “peak \( A_p \)” and is given in bold in Tables 1–3. This notation is employed throughout the discussion and analysis in this manuscript. The peak \( A_p \) value for \( \text{n-PrOH} \) in \( \text{ZrO}_2 \)-based freeze cast scaffolds occurs at 5–7 vol\%, the same value observed for experiments performed on IPA [Fig. 1] [19,20]. However, the peak \( A_p \) values for \( \text{EtOH} \) and \( \text{n-BuOH} \) in \( \text{ZrO}_2 \)-based freeze cast scaffolds occur at 10 and 3 vol\% respectively. This is displayed graphically in Fig. 2a where it can also be seen that the peak \( A_p \) in \( \text{n-PrOH} \) produces the highest magnitude of the three additives. Similarly, \( X_p \) (Fig. 2b) presents the same maxima, though the maximum observed for \( \text{n-BuOH} \) is relatively smaller than their associated peak \( A_p \). Micrographs of each additive are displayed in Fig. 3. In each case, the baseline microstructure with no additive (0 vol\%) and microstructures with 1 and 15 vol\% are displayed along
with the microstructure of the scaffold at the peak $A_p$. As expected, the baseline microstructures appear very similar given that they have the exact same slurry properties. However, from observing the measured dimensions for these samples with 0 vol% additive in Tables 1–3, it can be seen that there is a relatively large variation in the pore size, with mean values of $A_p = 752, 532$ and 560 $\mu^2$ for EtOH, n-ProOH and n-BuOH, respectively. This discrepancy can be explained by the inherent variability within the base freeze casting process. It was recently reported that statistically significant variability was found within sample freeze cast with the exact same processing conditions and constituents [26] (as is the case in the 0 vol% samples here). This was proposed to result from the inherent variability in the growth of ice, the fundamental templating force within the freeze casting process, which only provides control of the final porosity in one dimension [26]. In addition, at 15 vol% each additive displays a collapsed microstructure with pore measurements similar to those at 0 vol% (Tables 1–3).

3.1. The effect of clathrate hydrates

Results of DSC experiments upon varying concentrations of binary mixtures of the current monofunctional alcohols with H$_2$O are shown in Fig. 4 along with the associated phase diagrams, where available. EtOH (Fig. 4a) displays only a single endotherm at ~273 K that broadens and shifts to slightly lower temperatures with increasing concentration. From the phase diagram, this single endotherm is associated with the decomposition of a solid solution ice phase to a single phase liquid. There are no observed clathrate hydrates forming in these results. n-ProOH (Fig. 4b) displays an additional endotherm. The first is found at ~263 K and is associated with the decomposition of a solid phase, X, to a liquid solution. The nature of X is a matter of some debate: Chapoy et al. [32] reported this to be a clathrate hydrate with $n_{h} = 17$ while Manakov et al. [24] have disputed this claim suggesting that it is only a solid solution ice phase. Given the similar DSC curve structure to previous work on the chemically identical IPA, which was shown to result in clathrate hydrate formation [20], we suggest that clathrate hydrates are present in this system as well. n-BuOH (Fig. 4c) displays a sharp endotherm at ~273 K. The steep nature of this endotherm at concentrations above 3 vol% is suggested to be associated with the solubility limit of n-BuOH in pure H$_2$O at ~3 vol%. Additionally, due to the limited solubility, to our knowledge, no phase diagrams are available for the binary n-BuOH–water system. Regardless, the lack of a second endotherm at temperatures lower than 273 K (which is

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETOH (vol%)</th>
<th>$a$ (µm)</th>
<th>$b$ (µm)</th>
<th>$A_p$ ($µ^2$)</th>
<th>$X_p$</th>
<th>$T_m$ (µm)</th>
<th>$V_c$</th>
<th>UCS (MPa)</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>59.6 ± 26.4</td>
<td>15.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>752 ± 474</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>138 ± 17</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>127.6 ± 46.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 6.0</td>
<td>2663 ± 1418</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>11.6 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>160 ± 14</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>130.7 ± 53.6</td>
<td>23.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>2518 ± 1536</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>155 ± 29</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>163.0 ± 66.8</td>
<td>26.2 ± 5.9</td>
<td>3510 ± 1867</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>13.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>131 ± 14</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>174.6 ± 73.7</td>
<td>24.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td>3406 ± 1788</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>13.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>125 ± 6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>258.6 ± 87.2</td>
<td>27.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>5964 ± 3006</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>17.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>147 ± 10</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>72.0 ± 20.2</td>
<td>11.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>673 ± 249</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>12.9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>136 ± 5</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data is presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-ProOH (vol%)</th>
<th>$a$ (µm)</th>
<th>$b$ (µm)</th>
<th>$A_p$ ($µ^2$)</th>
<th>$X_p$</th>
<th>$T_m$ (µm)</th>
<th>$V_c$</th>
<th>UCS (MPa)</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.9 ± 18.3</td>
<td>13.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>532 ± 252</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.02</td>
<td>90 ± 35</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>115.7 ± 46.2</td>
<td>21.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>2027 ± 1150</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>90 ± 39</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>191.0 ± 92.2</td>
<td>28.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>4534 ± 2820</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>132 ± 18</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>365.0 ± 162.8</td>
<td>35.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>10,540 ± 5662</td>
<td>10.1 ± 3.7</td>
<td>20.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>131 ± 17</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>354.4 ± 190.6</td>
<td>34.3 ± 6.9</td>
<td>10,287 ± 7218</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>19.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>107 ± 17</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>226.4 ± 85.5</td>
<td>26.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>4969 ± 2900</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>13.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.03</td>
<td>119 ± 9</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>147.1 ± 49.7</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>2436 ± 1004</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>11.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>124 ± 9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data is presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-BuOH (vol%)</th>
<th>$a$ (µm)</th>
<th>$b$ (µm)</th>
<th>$A_p$ ($µ^2$)</th>
<th>$X_p$</th>
<th>$T_m$ (µm)</th>
<th>$V_c$</th>
<th>UCS (MPa)</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>49.3 ± 20.6</td>
<td>13.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>560 ± 315</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>122 ± 9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>84.7 ± 30.1</td>
<td>18.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>1211 ± 529</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>10.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>148 ± 37</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>232.4 ± 119.6</td>
<td>41.3 ± 7.2</td>
<td>7998 ± 5295</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>20.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>162 ± 7</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80.3 ± 22.3</td>
<td>20.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>1325 ± 538</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>103 ± 30</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>82.4 ± 28.4</td>
<td>20.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>1354 ± 652</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>115 ± 5</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>77.3 ± 23.5</td>
<td>17.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1099 ± 426</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>10.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>116 ± 12</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>137.4 ± 60.8</td>
<td>22.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>2645 ± 2161</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>14.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>123 ± 12</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data is presented as the mean ± standard deviation.
associated with the decomposition of pure ice) negates the possibility of additional clathrate hydrate phases. These results suggest that while there are clathrate hydrates forming during the freeze casting process with n-PrOH, there are no strong signs of clathrates forming during the freeze casting process with either EtOH or n-BuOH. Therefore a different mechanism must be primarily responsible for the scaffold microstructures presented here.

3.2. Hydrophobic hydration

The phenomenon observed in mixtures of hydrophobic liquids (e.g. monofunctional alcohols) with H₂O, called hydrophobic hydration, may hold the key to these results. Similar to clathrate hydrates, hydrophobic hydration occurs in dilute aqueous solutions where a hydrophobic molecule will bond to and/or order and pattern the molecules around itself [33–35]. These structures are referred to as hydrates and, similar to clathrate hydrates, can be defined by ƞₙ. Unlike clathrate hydrates, which occur in the solid state and at low temperatures or high pressures, hydrophobic hydration occurs in the liquid state at or around room temperature. While not always associated, the formation of room temperature hydrates has been reported to lead to clathrate hydrate formation upon solidification [36], as diagrammed in Fig. 5 for the example of n-PrOH. Glinski and Burakowski [29,31,35,37–40] reported in depth on the formation of these structures in binary aqueous solutions of monofunctional alcohols and H₂O. These studies include the monofunctional alcohols examined here that have been reported to form hydrates at room temperature with ƞₙ values of 2.2 (EtOH), 3.1 (n-PrOH) and 4.0 (n-BuOH) [35,37]. The Laplace equation (Eqn. (3)) was used to determine the adiabatic compressibility coefficient, κₙ, as a function of the liquid sound velocity, c, and density, ρ [29,31,35]:

\[
κₙ = \frac{1}{c²ρ}
\]  (3)

The measurement of κₙ as a function of the solvent concentration is well known to produce a negative parabolic relationship [30,31]. Previously reported examples of this data for dilute solutions of methanol (MeOH, CH₃OH), EtOH and n-PrOH are displayed in Fig. 6a. Similar data for n-BuOH are only available up to the solubility limit in pure H₂O of ~3 vol%. As a result, MeOH is included to display the relative trends within a homologous series of monofunctional alcohols. As the size of the solute increases (from MeOH to n-PrOH), the additive concentration where the minimum of κₙ occurs decreases. This decrease in compressibility can be linked to the process of hydrophobic hydration. The hydrates are relatively more incompressible than pure H₂O, thus lowering the compressibility of the entire solution [35]. As the minimum is approached, each additive molecule is fully dispersed and capable of forming an individual hydrate (Fig. 6b). Past the minimum, the liquid becomes saturated resulting in free pockets of the additive solute (Fig. 6c) that increase the compressibility of the solution. As a result, this minimum can be considered to be the saturation point of hydrates within the fluid.

When considering the current series of experiments, it is important to note that many non-electrolytes can be ordered into homologous series with similar structures but increasing numbers of carbon atoms in their alkyl chains. Within monofunctional alcohols, MeOH, EtOH, n-PrOH, n-BuOH and n-pentanol (C₅H₁₁OH) all are linear and increase in length with the addition of methylene bridges (CH₂). Within this homologous series, ƞₙ has been shown to linearly increase when compared to the number of carbon atoms in the non-electrolyte solute [35].

In addition to studies on pure binary mixtures of liquids, suspensions and slurries consisting of a liquid phase and dispersed solid particles have been studied [41,42]. In order to account for the addition of a solid phase, a modified form of Eq. (3) can be employed (adapted from Refs. [41,42]):

\[
κₙ = \frac{1}{c²ρ} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{F} - \frac{1}{Fₚ} \right)
\]  (4)

where κₙ of the isolated liquid phase can be calculated as a function c and ρ measured for the entire mixture as well as the volume fraction of particles in the slurry, ̂Φ, and the adiabatic compressibility of these particles, κₚ.

Previous measurements of the adiabatic compressibility and hydrophobic hydration have only been performed upon binary mixtures (either liquid-liquid or liquid-solid). However, the slurries of freeze casting are much more complex, containing six constituents (water, alcohol additives, PEG, PVA, dispersant and ceramic solid loading) for the cases examined in this study. While there are undoubtedly many interactions occurring within these mixtures, a simplified analysis of the results can be employed by assuming that the slurries are two-phase mixtures of liquid (i.e. water, alcohol, PEG, PVA and dispersant) and solid (ZrO₂ particles). With this in
mind, the sound velocity through the highly incompressible ZrO$_2$ particles (calculated to be $v = 5976$ m/s [43]) results in a value of $k_s = 5.5 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$/N (as calculated by Eq. (3)). This is two orders of magnitude smaller than values experimentally calculated for pure H$_2$O, $k_s = 4.4 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$/N.

Eq. (4) accurately assumes that any compressibility experienced by the slurry would effectively result from only the liquid phase, which is also where any effects of hydrophobic hydration would be felt. However, it would be inappropriate to assume that the solid loading has no effect upon the compressibility measurements. Previous results have shown that, at low concentrations, the sound velocity decreases with increasing solid loading [41,42]. This would lead to an increase in the compressibility and could result in a significant effect or even inversion of the compressibility measurements taken on full slurries as opposed to the binary mixtures shown in Fig. 6a. This is supported by Horváth-Szabó and Heiland [42], who demonstrated that, in colloidal suspensions, the density and subsequent compressibility of the solid loading is altered by the association of liquid medium to the solid particles. In effect, the density of a solid loading particle, when wetted in a liquid medium, becomes a function of both the original solid body and the liquid attached to it (e.g. penetrating into pores or bound to the surface), thus dispersing the particles and often lowering the effective density. To investigate this, data were collected on two representative solutions (H$_2$O, PEG, PVA, dispersant and alcohol additive) with varied solid loading and plotted in Fig. 7a along with raw data values of $c$ in Fig. 7b and $\rho$ in Fig. 7c. In order to best visualize the effect, the two slurries chosen were a base sample (with no alcohol additive) and the slurry that showed the largest effect on the final microstructure (7 vol% n-PrOH additive). It can be seen that with the addition of n-PrOH to the slurry, $k_s$ displays a drastic increase at 15 vol% solid loading (the concentration present in this study’s slurries). Additionally, it can be seen that, when compared to the base sample, with low solid loading concentrations ($\leq$5 vol%) the addition of alcohol results in a decrease in $k_s$, corroborating the previous reports on binary mixtures. However, at higher solid loading concentrations ($\geq$10 vol%) this relationship is inverted, with the addition of alcohol resulting in an increase in $k_s$.

This inversion of the relationship between slurries with alcohol and those without can be considered to be the result of a decrease in bulk $\rho$ of the solid loading caused by the presence of hydrates. It has been shown that organic proteins (also known to form hydrates [37]) will increase the stability of slurries and colloids through hydration forces as the proteins coat the solid particles and create a hydrophilic shell [44]. In the current study it is proposed that the hydrates formed by the addition of alcohols can similarly stabilize and disperse the solid loading within the slurries. As previously discussed, when considering the compressibility of a slurry, it has been shown that a suspended particle becomes associated with the layer of fluid that surrounds it causing a reduction of its effective density [42,43]. Such a phenomenon would cause the slurry density to decrease as the total particle surface area increases and therefore (due to the inverse relationship between density and $k_s$ described in Eq. (3)) causing an increase in $k_s$. This is supported by density measurements (Fig. 7c) that show that the addition of n-PrOH to slurries at higher solid loading concentrations results in a deviation from an increasing linear trend. This deviation is proposed to be the direct effect to hydrophobic hydration creating large fluid layers surrounding the solid loading particles (as diagramed in Fig. 7) and dispersing them.

Measurements of $k_s$ as a function of additive concentration for all slurries are shown in Fig. 8. In each case, the slurries reach maxima in $k_s$ at the same concentrations as their peak $A_p$ (Fig. 2a). Given the results of the previous experiment, these maxima are considered to be linked to the saturation of hydrates due to hydrophobic hydration, with the solid loading causing the largest concentration of hydrates to occur at a maximum as opposed to a minimum as seen for binary mixtures. Importantly, the parabolic response of $k_s$ with respect to additive concentration is able to provide an understanding of the maxima in pore size within the final freeze cast microstructures. This connection could not be fully explained by the simple presence of clathrate hydrates as there are no phases present at the concentrations associated with peak $A_p$ values in any of the cases presented here or in previous reports.
However, the largest increase in microstructural properties was observed with the addition of n-PrOH, which was the only additive in this study to display the presence of clathrate hydrates. The current results highlight the effect of hydrophobic hydration on the freeze casting process and provide a new avenue for intrinsic control. As diagrammed in Fig. 9, it is suggested that the presence of the enlarged hydrate structures in the liquid slurry ahead of the ice growth results in enlarged ice crystals, which in turn provide for the enlarged freeze cast microstructures described here. There are a multitude of potential additives known to form hydrates that could be employed including alcohols, polymers, amines, amides and amino acids [37]. One additive of note that is present in the current slurries is the PVA. PVA is employed here as a polymeric binder and is itself known to form a hydrate with \( n_h = 3 \) [37]. Hydrates formed by PVA in aqueous mixtures have been shown to avoid freezing, even at temperatures lower than the normal freezing point [46]. Therefore, the presence of PVA within the slurries used here and in many other freeze casting procedures is likely to result in enlarged porosity due to the same effects of hydrophobic hydration that have been shown to occur here due to the presence of monofunctional alcohols. As the proportion of PVA was held constant in all of the experiments described here, no conclusions of the effect can be drawn, though future studies into

Fig. 4. Differential scanning calorimetry data for varying concentrations of binary mixtures of EtOH, n-PrOH and n-BuOH with water along with associated phase diagrams. (a) EtOH displays only a single endotherm at ~273 K, which from the phase diagram is associated with the decomposition of solid solution ice to a single phase liquid (l); (b) n-PrOH displays one additional endotherm at ~263 K associated with the decomposition of a solid phase, X, (proposed to be a hydrate with \( n_h = 17 \)); (c) n-BuOH with only a single steep endotherm at ~273 K. Due to the low solubility of n-BuOH in water (~3 vol%), to our knowledge there are no available phase diagrams. Phase diagram data taken from, (a) [57], (b) [24].

Fig. 5. Diagrams of hydrates (from hydrophobic hydration) and clathrate hydrates for an example n-PrOH molecule. Hydrates (n-PrOH \( n_h = 3 \)) form at higher temperatures (e.g. room temperature) while clathrate hydrates (n-PrOH \( n_h = 5 \)) form at low temperatures or high pressures. Both processes cause the solute molecule to template the surrounding H\(_2\)O molecules.
its specific effect could provide valuable insight. However, of greatest importance, this new work suggests that when considering the freeze casting process, attention should be given to the slurry at room temperature, not just to the frozen or freezing states.

3.3. Mechanical characterization

Mechanical properties as a function of additive concentration are shown in Fig. 10. Ultimate compressive strength (UCS, Fig. 10a) and effective compressive modulus (E, Fig. 10b) are both displayed in a normalized form as described in Eq. (2), allowing for clear comparison of how the trends are affected by the additives. The mechanical properties at the peak $A_p$ for each additive are defined as, EtOH ($E = 4.5$ GPa, $UCS = 147$ MPa), n-PrOH ($E = 3.3$ GPa, $UCS = 131$ MPa) and n-BuOH ($E = 4.0$ GPa, $UCS = 162$ MPa), this data is taken as the average of five measurements. Representative stress-strain curves from individual experiments for the three peak $A_p$ samples are shown in Fig. 11. In all cases it can be seen that initial failure occurs with little plasticity, but the samples are able to hold a relatively high amount of stress after failure, thus increasing their overall toughness. The plateau that occurs after the initial failure (which holds a stress of ~75–85 MPa) is similar to the measured strength of the infiltrating epoxy (with a measured mean value of 79.9 MPa). Therefore, the ceramic lamellar walls withstand the initial stress up to failure, then the second epoxy phase withstands the majority of stress, with the remaining and unbroken lamellar walls failing one-by-one, until final catastrophic failure. This multistep failure mechanism is similar to the “graceful failure” that is characteristic of many porous and cellular materials where a porous material will sustain an initial high stress, then a long plateau as individual porous regions fail with increasing strain. This failure mode is also found in biological materials such as the spines of the slate pencil sea urchin where, due to this mechanism, the toughness of the urchin’s calcite spines is significantly higher than that of monolithic calcite. EtOH shows little change in mechanical properties regardless of concentration. Both n-PrOH and n-BuOH display peak values in mechanical properties at the same concentrations associated with their peak $A_p$’s, with the greatest increase in both UCS and $E$ occurring with the addition of n-PrOH. This shows that any increase in mechanical properties is most closely tied to the magnitude of $A_p$. Of note, the relative magnitude of the increase in mechanical properties from 0 vol% to the maximum at 5 vol% for both UCS (~1.5 times increase) and $E$ (~2.5 times increase) are similar to those previously reported for similar ZrO$_2$ scaffold composites that used IPA as an additive.

While the addition of EtOH, n-PrOH and n-BuOH is, capable of realizing an increase in the mechanical properties, the exact physical phenomena that drive this are yet unknown. Given that the scaffolds are ZrO$_2$ ceramic-epoxy two phase composites, the mechanical properties were analyzed by a simple rule of mixtures (Eq. (5)), given the relative volume fraction of the ceramic, $V_c$ (Tables 1–3).

$$Q_{comp} = Q_{ZrO2}V_c + Q_{epoxy}(1 - V_c)$$

where $Q_{comp}$, $Q_{ZrO2}$ and $Q_{epoxy}$ are the mechanical properties ($E$ and $UCS$) of the composite scaffold, ZrO$_2$ (for solid tetragonal ZrO$_2$, $E_{ZrO2} = 210$ GPa, $UCS_{ZrO2} = 1200$ MPa) and epoxy (measured to be $E_{epoxy} = 1.8$ GPa, $UCS_{epoxy} = 79.9$ MPa), respectively. These results were found to be significantly in excess of the experimentally measured maximum values with $E = 55–70$ GPa and $UCS = 360–450$ MPa. This shows that the true failure behavior cannot be explained with such a simplistic model.

When considering these lower than expected mechanical properties, the failure mechanisms of each of the two phases must be considered. The rule of mixtures would suggest that both fail in pure compression. While this would be reasonable for a ductile and isotropic material such as the epoxy, it is much less so for the brittle ZrO$_2$, which takes on the form of tall, slender and lamellar walls upon freeze casting. Porter et al. [19] developed a simplified but effective model for uninfiltrated scaffolds of a similar structure that showed the low mechanical properties were the result of Euler buckling of the lamellar walls. This model accurately predicts the diminishing mechanical properties of uninfiltrated scaffolds as the pore aspect ratio increases by analyzing a unit cell of constant material volume. Observing the current results, failure of the brittle ZrO$_2$ lamellar walls is assumed to again occur by Euler buckling. However, the infiltrating epoxy provides an unknown level of resistance to buckling. A simplified estimation of this resistance to buckling can be determined by calculating the buckling mode, $n$, which corresponds to the number of fixed restraints (or buckling lobes) providing resistance to a column under axial compressive loading [50]. As it is clear that these ZrO$_2$ walls are unable to buckle freely, $n > 1$. However, given that $E$ of ZrO$_2$ is almost two orders of magnitude larger than that of the epoxy, it would also be inappropriate to consider the interface between the two phases to be rigidly fixed, which would allow the composite to provide properties closer to the rule of mixtures analysis presented above. Therefore, an analysis of the effective $n$ for the composites in this
study will be presented. This analysis focuses upon the strength (UCS) of the composite materials at the peak $A_p$ for each alcohol additive. This was done to examine the failure modes of those materials that, experimentally, provided the highest mechanical properties and would be the most likely candidate for structural applications.

To understand this interaction between the two constituents, a simplified model of the current freeze cast composites is assumed as a layered composite (Fig. 12). With this assumption, the total ultimate compressive strength, $UCS_T$, of a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ mm$^3$ sample (to match the physical testing) can be calculated by a rule of mixtures that incorporates the failure modes of each constituent:

$$UCS_T = \sum_i (UCS_i \times \text{fraction of } i)$$

Fig. 7. The effect of solid loading concentration on adiabatic compressibility. (a) At concentrations $< 5$ vol% ZrO$_2$ particles, the addition of 7 vol% n-PrOH causes a decrease in $k_s$, which is similar to results on binary mixtures of monofunctional alcohols and H$_2$O due to the effects of hydrophobic hydration. At concentrations $>10$ vol% ZrO$_2$ particles, the addition of 7 vol% n-PrOH causes a large increase in $k_s$, inverting the response of $k_s$ to hydrophobic hydration; (b) The sound velocity, $c$, of both the base and n-PrOH 7 vol% samples decrease with increasing solid loading; (c) The density, $\rho$, increases with solid loading in the base sample, but shows a decrease with the n-PrOH additive at 15 vol% solid loading. This is proposed to be the effect of hydrates forming around the solid loading (inset). Data is presented as the mean ± one standard deviation of five measurements.

Fig. 8. Adiabatic compressibility, $k_s$, as a function of additive concentration for complete slurries. Maxima occur at the concentrations of 10, 7 and 3 vol% for EtOH, n-PrOH and n-BuOH, respectively. These maxima occur at the same concentrations as the observed peak $A_p$ values shown in Fig. 2a and are associated with the effects of hydrophobic hydration. Data is presented as the mean ± one standard deviation of five measurements.

Fig. 9. Diagram of the proposed mechanism connecting hydrophobic hydration to the enlarged porosity in the final freeze cast scaffolds. The presence of the enlarged hydrates, shown on the right compared to pure H$_2$O on the left, in the liquid phase ahead of the freezing front results in enlarged ice crystals, which in turn result in larger porosity.
compression for the epoxy and buckling for the ZrO2 ceramic, which is dependent upon the number of ceramic lamellar walls, $N_w$, within the sample:

$$UCS_T = UCS_{epoxy}(1 - V_c) + UCS_{ZrO2}(N_w)\tag{6}$$

where $UCS_{epoxy}$ is assumed to be constant in all cases as the experimentally calculated value of 79.9 MPa; $UCS_{ZrO2}$ is defined as the critical stress for the ZrO2 ceramic:

$$UCS_{ZrO2} = UCS_{wall} = n^2 \pi^2 q^2 E_{ZrO2} I_{w} w^{2}\tag{7}$$

where $q$ is a previously suggested empirical correction factor to incorporate experimental variability such as internal wall porosity and surface roughness (for this case and has been previously used [19], $q = 0.02$); $I$ is the moment of inertia of the lamellar wall (Eq. (8)), $A_w$ is the cross-sectional area of the wall, which is equal to the wall width, $w$ (= 5 mm), times the wall thickness $T_w$ (experimentally measured ranging from ~6 to 20 μm); $k$ is a constant that depends on the geometry of the ends (for this case, $k = 0.5$ for fixed ends) and $l$ is the wall length (= 5 mm, all geometries are imaged in Fig. 12a).

$$I = \frac{wT_w^3}{12}\tag{8}$$

The critical stress of buckling is calculated for a single wall. Therefore, the total stress of the numerous walls within the samples cannot be calculated as a simple volume fraction and must be considered to be additive. For this reason, $N_w$ in each scaffold was estimated based upon the known volume fraction of ZrO2 and the experimentally measured wall thickness:

$$N_w = \frac{V_c w}{T_w}\tag{9}$$

Substituting Eqs. (7)–(9) into Eq. (6), a final simplified model for the strength of the composite scaffolds that takes into account the varying failure modes is developed:

$$UCS_T = UCS_{epoxy}(1 - V_c) + n^2 \pi^2 q^2 E_{ZrO2} wV_c T_w\tag{10}$$

Finally, Eq. (10) is rearranged in order to solve for the effective resistance to buckling, $n$:

$$n = \frac{kl \pi q}{\sqrt{12(UCS_T - UCS_{epoxy}(1 - V_c))}}\tag{11}$$

By setting $UCS_T$ equal to the peak $A_p$ strength values measured for each additive, it was found that $n = 18, 15$ and 19 for EtOH, n-PrOH and $n$-BuOH, respectively. This represents a high level of interaction and suggests that the infiltration of this second phase, while not able to provide rigid support and ensure compressive failure of the lamellar walls (as would have been predicted by a rule of mixtures), does provide a substantial impact on the mechanical and buckling stability of these structures. To further explore this effect, the UCS of uninfiltred scaffolds can be calculated from Eq. (10) by setting $UCS_{epoxy} = 0$ and $n = 1$ (denoting a failure of unsupported, mode 1 buckling). This unsupported case predicts very low peak $A_p$ strengths of 0.30, 0.32 and 0.30 MPa for EtOH, n-PrOH and $n$-BuOH, respectively. Of note, these values are similar to those...
two phases can explain the observed increasing trend in mechanical properties with the increase in $X_p$ and $A_p$, which stands in opposition to previous reports of unfilled freeze cast scaffolds \([12,19]\). The dependence of this buckling mode of failure on $T_w$, which generally follows a similar parabolic trend as $A_p$, tends to produce higher strengths with increasing $X_p$ and $A_p$. When attempting to create a stronger material for structural applications, the use of an infiltrate that more closely matches the elastic properties of the ZrO\(_2\) ceramic would provide greater support to the lamellar walls, allowing the mechanical properties to approach the elevated properties described by the rule of mixtures analysis.

This level of complex interaction is also present in biological materials with many organisms forming layered structural design elements that consist of numerous interfaces with large changes in mechanical properties, usually resulting from alternating layers of rigid and compliant phases (e.g. ceramic and polymeric respectively) \([15]\). These are found throughout nature in structural biological materials such as the nacre of abalone \([51–53]\), the spicules of some sea sponges \([54,55]\) and the lamella of cortical bone \([56]\). The controlled, intrinsic templating of freeze casting through the use of hydrophobic hydration and clathrate hydrates allows for the creation of similar bioinspired designs. Mechanically, these layered structures are known to provide additional toughness through the deflection and blunting of cracks along with other toughening mechanisms ahead of the crack and in its wake \([15]\). The mechanical analysis presented here on bioinspired freeze cast composites suggests an additional mechanical advantage of these structures as the compliant phases are capable of providing buckling resistance of brittle plates and lamellae.

### 4. Conclusions

The current experimental study of epoxy-infiltrated, freeze cast ZrO\(_2\) bioinspired composites with ethanol (EtOH), n-propanol (n-PrOH) and n-butanol (n-BuOH) as additives for intrinsic control enables the following conclusions:

- The addition of EtOH, n-PrOH and n-BuOH all result in an increase in microstructural pore size up to a peak pore area (peak $A_p$), which occurs at 10, 5–7 and 3 vol\% respectively, then a subsequent decrease with increasing concentration. The peak $A_p$ magnitude was largest when n-PrOH was employed as the additive and, in this case, occurred at the same concentration as previous reports on the use of isopropanol in the freeze casting process.
- Differential scanning calorimetry experiments designed to mimic the freeze casting process suggested that clathrate hydrates were present in n-PrOH–H\(_2\)O binary mixtures, but not in those involving EtOH or n-BuOH.
- Sound velocity experiments to determine the compressibility of the slurries examined in this study showed that hydrophobic hydration occurred in all cases. The effects of hydrophobic hydration were also shown to be greatest at the same additive concentrations where the peak $A_p$ occurred for each additive. Additionally, experiments on the effect of the solid loading showed that the slurry’s solid loading particles cause the effect of hydrophobic hydration to be inverted.
- The current study highlights that, when considering the freeze casting process, the effect of hydrophobic hydration at room temperature prior to freezing must be considered, in addition to the low temperature and freezing states.
- Mechanical properties (ultimate compressive strength and modulus) of all two-phase, bioinspired ZrO\(_2\)-epoxy composites showed an increase in the cases of n-PrOH and n-BuOH

---

**Fig. 12.** Mechanical property analysis of the current bioinspired composites. (a) A simplified two-phase (polymer in pink and ZrO\(_2\) ceramic in yellow) layered composite model is considered using the experimentally measured geometric dimensions of $w$, $l$ and $T_w$. The strength is considered to be a function of axial compression of the polymer phase and supported Euler buckling of the ZrO\(_2\) ceramic; (b) While the current bioinspired composites are unable to provide rigid support (as would be predicted by the rule of mixtures), they do provide significant buckling resistance, which is estimated to result in a buckling mode of $n = 15–19$. This is orders of magnitude higher than the calculated strength of unfilled, or unsupported, scaffolds ($n = 1$). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

*Previously reported in experiments on TiO\(_2\) freeze cast scaffolds with similar microstructures that utilized IPA as an additive (0.7 MPa) \([19]\). The results of this analysis are summarized in Fig. 12b.*

This analysis demonstrates that, while improved over unfilled scaffolds, the lamellar walls of the current bioinspired composite materials still fail in a buckling mode, thus reducing their strength. In addition, the level of interaction between the
additives, but little change in the case of ETOH. Analysis of the mechanisms demonstrated that the composites are incapable of failing in pure compression as would be described by the rule of mixtures due to the preferential Euler buckling mode of the ZrO2 phase. However, the presence of the epoxy provided a large resistance, which was estimated to force the structures to fail in buckling modes of n = 18, 15 and 19 for ETOH, n-ProOH and n-BuOH respectively.

- The current analysis suggests that layered structural design elements, found throughout nature, may be harnessing this resistance to Euler buckling in order to provide additional strength.
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